

*cidb Women in Construction Excellence Awards 2011*  
*Evaluation of nominee's*

## PERFORMANCE ON A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT

**This form evaluates the performance of the nominee on a project completed between April 2009 and March 2011. The form must be completed by the client's agent who was directly responsible for managing the project. The form constitutes part of the criteria and will be used to assess the nominee's performance on managing time, cost, quality, health & safety and the condition of site.**

Project name: \_\_\_\_\_

Project description: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Date completed: \_\_\_\_\_

Province where project located: \_\_\_\_\_

Project value: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Manager: \_\_\_\_\_

Tel: \_\_\_\_\_ eMail add: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of contractor: \_\_\_\_\_

1. **Time Management** - Contractor's skill and commitment in managing time

Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

*Significant delay attributable to contractor*

Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------

*Some delay attributable to contractor*

Good	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

*On time, no delays attributable to contractor*

Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----------	--------------------------

*Ahead of time, within extension granted*

2. **Cost Management** – contractor's skill and commitment to managing cost

Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

*Significant const over-run, disputed by client*

Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------

*Some cost over-runs, with limited client dispute*

Good	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

*Completed for contract sum plus agreed extras only*

Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----------	--------------------------

*Completed within contract sum, including absorbing additional costs*

3. **Quality Management** – contractor's skill and commitment in managing quality

Poor	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

*Needed close attention by inspectors; significant reworking required; handover had list of defects; slow attention to defects after handover*

Adequate	<input type="checkbox"/>
----------	--------------------------

*Inspections were necessary; little work was required; there were some defects at handover; defects were attended to efficiently after handover*

Good	<input type="checkbox"/>
------	--------------------------

*Inspections were largely a formality; some rework was required, but all initiated by contractor; there were few defects and these were very efficiently cleared*

Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/>
-----------	--------------------------

*No rework attributable to the contractor; work was substantially free of any defects attributable to the contractor and the client was completely satisfied*

4. **Health and Safety** – skill and commitment in managing health & safety

Poor

*Contractor had H&S plans on the project; but there was no evidence of consistent review of H/S incidents*

Adequate

*Plan was expressed in generalities or not fully comprehensive; all incidents were reviewed; there was thorough investigation of all incidents with potential of serious injury*

Good

*Plans were specific and comprehensive regarding site operations; regular company-wide reviews of procedures were conducted; internal reviews and external sources were used*

Excellent

*Plan was fully implemented, in spirit and detail; incentives were built into the plan; there was active sharing and dissemination of lessons learned particularly down supply chain*

5. **Site Conditions** – skill and commitment in managing conditions on site

Poor

*Untidy, dusty, noisy site; soil and/ or water contamination; wastage of materials; lack of respect for natural environment*

Adequate

*Site in reasonable order; no evidence of soil or water contamination; minimum wastage of materials; impact of activities on natural environment minimised*

Good

*Dust, air and noise minimised; preventative measures in place for soil and water contamination; minimum wastage of materials; separation of waste, storage areas fenced off; impact of activities on natural environment minimised*

Excellent

*Dust, air and noise level proactively reduced; emergency measures in place for soil and water contamination; reuse and recycle of materials; protection of the natural environment.*